

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/859,481	05/18/2001	Yasuo Komada	35.G1781 DIV. 1	6635
5514 7	590 08/27/2003			
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			EXAMINER	
NEW YORK,	LLER PLAZA NY 10112		WALLERSON	N, MARK E
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
			DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/859,481

Applicant(s)

Kamoda

Examiner

Mark Wallerson

Art Unit 2622

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
A SH THE N	for Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In date of this communication.	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
If the position of the positio	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ne application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 4, 20	
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) \square This act	ion is non-final.
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
-	tion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>32-42</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) 32-42	is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	tion Papers	
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) 🗌	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.
10)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
10) 🗆	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d The proposed drawing correction filed on	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner. to this Office action.
11)□ 12)□ Priority	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. to this Office action. iner.
11) □ 12) □ Priority 13) □	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. to this Office action. iner.
11)□ 12)□ Priority 13)⊠ a)□	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr All b) Some* c) None of:	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. to this Office action. iner. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
11)□ 12)□ Priority 13)□ a)□	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. to this Office action. Incr. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
11)□ 12)□ Priority 13)☑ a)□	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. to this Office action. iner. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). e been received. e been received in Application No
11)□ 12)□ Priority 13)☑ a)□	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have	is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. to this Office action. iner. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). e been received. e been received in Application No. cocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
11) □ 12) □ Priority 13) □ a) □	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority december	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. to this Office action. Iner. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). the been received. the been received in Application No. the been received in Application No. the comments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). the certified copies not received.
11)□ 12)□ Priority 13)□ a)□ *S(14)□	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. to this Office action. iner. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). e been received. e been received in Application No. cocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). e certified copies not received. priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
11)□ 12)□ Priority 13)□ a)□ *S(14)□	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea the attached detailed Office action for a list of the Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic The translation of the foreign language provisional	is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. to this Office action. iner. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). e been received. e been received in Application No. comments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). e certified copies not received. priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Il application has been received.
11) 12) Priority 13) a) *So 14) a) a)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have the attached detailed Office action for a list of the Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic The translation of the foreign language provisional Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. to this Office action. iner. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). e been received. e been received in Application No. comments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). e certified copies not received. priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Il application has been received.
11)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have the attached detailed Office action for a list of the Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic The translation of the foreign language provisional Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. to this Office action. iner. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). e been received. e been received in Application No. comments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). e certified copies not received. priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Il application has been received.
11)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pro All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea the attached detailed Office action for a list of the Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic The translation of the foreign language provisiona Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic tent(s)	is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. to this Office action. iner. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). e been received. e been received in Application No. cocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). e certified copies not received. priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). all application has been received. priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.

Art Unit: 2626

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant(s)

- 1. This action is responsive to the following communications: amendment filed on 6/4/2003.
- 2. This application has been reconsidered. Claims 32-42 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 41 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miura (U. S. 4,649,403) in view of Makino et al (U. S. 4,825,405).

With respect to claims 32, 34, 35, 36, 38, 41, and 42 Miura discloses input means (2) for inputting image data; a printer (figure 2) which prints an image on a recording medium based in input image data (column 1, lines 33-43); size detection means for detecting a size of the inputted image data (column 1, lines 33-53 and column 14, lines 38-46); manual feeding means for receiving and feeding manually-loaded recording medium of various sizes (column 1, lines 8-15 and 33-58); means for determining based on the size of the image, a recording medium size appropriate for recording the inputted image (column 1, lines 33-58), and display means for

Application/Control Number: 09/859,481 Page 3

Art Unit: 2626

displaying, when feeding is to be done by manual means, the recording material size (column 1, lines 16-26; column 43, lines 20-24, and column 45, line 66 to column 46, line 2), the display means not displaying the recording material size when feeding is not to be performed by manual means (column 43, lines 28-34 displays the paper sizes of the cassettes, not the size of the determined recording material), and a memory (20) which stores the input image data.

Miura differs from claims 32, 34, 35, 36, 41 and 42 in that he does not clearly disclose a controller which controls the memory so as to hold the image data after completion of a printing operation performed based on a feeding operation by the manual feeding unit.

Makino discloses a printer comprising a controller (34) which controls a memory so as to hold the image data after completion of a printing operation performed (column 2, lines 49-54) based on a feeding operation by a manual feeding unit (17). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Miura to include a controller which controls the memory so as to hold the image data after completion of a printing operation performed based on a feeding operation by the manual feeding unit. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified by the teaching of Makino in order to be able to repeatedly print out the data stored in the memory when plural copies of a document are needed.

With respect to claims 33 and 37, Miura discloses a network (figure 1).

Application/Control Number: 09/859,481 Page 4

Art Unit: 2626

5. Claims 39 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miura in view of Makino as applied to claim above, and further in view of Futaki (U. S. 4,734,760).

With respect to claims 39 and 40, Miura as modified differs from claims 39 and 40 in that he does not clearly disclose erasing the stored image data when the printing operation is performed. Futaki discloses erasing the stored image data when the printing operation is performed/completed (column 7, lines 1-3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Miura as modified wherein the stored image data is erased when the printing operation is performed/completed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Miura as modified by the teaching of Futaki in order to free up the memory to receive new/additional data.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 32-35 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. All claims are rejected.

Art Unit: 2626

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, **THIS**ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Wallerson whose telephone number is (703) 305-8581.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, DC 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for formal communications intended for entry)

(for informal or draft communications, such as proposed amendments to be discussed at an interview; please label such communications "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

or hand-carried to:

Crystal Park Two

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/859,481

Art Unit: 2626

2121 Crystal Drive Arlington. VA. Sixth Floor (Receptionist)

Mark Wallerson